

The Farmers' Protest in India (2020–2021) – A Socio-Legal and Political Analysis

Madhavi

Doctorate, Department of Managements, AKTU/RITM, Lucknow, Lucknow, UP

Email Id: luckymadhavi28oct@gmail.com

ORCID ID: [0000-0003-4905-7289](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4905-7289)

Received: 14th August 2024 / Accepted: 13th September 2024 / Published: 15th October 2024
© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Aimbelle Publication.

Abstract: This study critically examines the Farmers' Protest in India (2020–2021) as a significant socio-political and legal movement that reshaped discourse on governance, democracy, and agrarian rights. Triggered by the enactment of three agricultural reform laws, the protest evolved into a nationwide movement reflecting tensions between economic liberalization and livelihood security. The research adopts an interdisciplinary approach, analyzing sociological aspects such as collective identity, rural-urban dynamics, and community solidarity. It also explores psychological dimensions, including resilience, trauma, and motivation among protesting farmers. Politically, the study highlights issues of participatory governance, legitimacy, and state-citizen relations, emphasizing how the lack of stakeholder consultation intensified resistance. The eventual repeal of the farm laws demonstrates the power of collective action in influencing policy decisions within a democratic framework. Legally, the protest raises questions about federalism, constitutional rights, and the balance between market reforms and social welfare. The findings reveal that the movement was not merely an economic protest but a broader assertion of dignity, representation, and democratic accountability. The study concludes that inclusive policymaking, transparent dialogue, and trust-building mechanisms are essential for sustainable governance, particularly in sectors affecting large populations such as agriculture.

Keywords: *Farmers' Protest, Agrarian Reform, Democratic Governance, Collective Action, Public Policy, India*

INTRODUCTION

The Farmers Protest of 2020-2021 can be considered one of the strongest social movements in modern India not only due to its size and persistence but also because of the fact that it was able to advance the discussion about democracy, economic reforms, and rights of the underrepresented population. Having emerged as a reaction toward the enactment of three controversial agricultural bills, the protest garnered much national and international coverage and gave rise to a unified movement of farmers, civil society organizations, academics, students, and trade unions and even the Indian diaspora. Although the government introduced the farm laws as historic reforms to modernize the sector and enhance its productivity, farmers viewed them as efforts that will jeopardize their livelihood, food security and the bargaining power against the large corporations. The conflict between these two views led to a long period of standoff which made the state to transform the nature of its relationship with the society in India.

The protest is a good place to examine sociology, psychology and political perspective of a social science, and the legal issue. Sociologically, the protest is a manifestation of how a collective identity is shaped by the factors of class, occupation, and the belonging to the region and how the rural communities engage in power struggles in the cities. Psychologically, it emphasises how communities holding up under long periods of stress are inspired by resilience, how stress and trauma can affect displacement and uncertainty, and how communities are sustained by solidarity. The protest is also an issue of politics in itself as it questions governance and legitimacy, the issue of bargaining, and the accessibility of institutions of democracy to the masses. In legal terms, it highlights the conflicting nature between the economic policies of liberalization and constitutional rights of equality and dignity, and livelihood.

The case study below will look at the Farmers Protest through these various lenses. It will critically evaluate the history of the farm legislations and how it has been received, the sociological factors which have seen the farmers mobilize, the psychological cost to the farmers and their respective families, political and legal consequences of the way the state reacted.

Background of the Farmers' Protest

The origins of the Farmers Protest are ascribed to the restructuring of the three agricultural reform laws implemented by the Indian Parliament in September 2020. The justification given by the government on these laws was that, they would give farmers more freedom to be able to sell their products, bring in more private investment and make the agricultural market

more efficient. These laws were viewed as a threat to the existence of farmers especially those of Punjab, Haryana, and Uttar Pradesh. The most controversial point was the risk that the existing Minimum Support Price (MSP) system wherein the state promises the growers a set rate on major crops would ultimately be abolished. According to farmers, without the MSP, they would end up at the mercy of large corporations that could influence terms of sale and the prices.

There was some controversy over the passage of the laws in Parliament. Since the bills were rushed through by lawmakers, with little debate, critics complained there had been opposition walkouts. Farmers and opposition parties claimed that the government did not undertake any serious consultation with stakeholders in making reforms to change the agrarian economy of India significantly. This feeling of lack of democracy process further stoked the anger and feeling of betrayal amongst the farmers.

In late November 2020, tens of thousands of farmers were marching to Delhi where they were confronted by heavy police forces barricades, and water cannons. Even as they tried to prevent it farmers had constructed gigantic tent cities on the edges of the capital, at Singhu, Tikri, and Ghazipur. These mass demonstration areas quickly became semi-permanent settlements, with improvised kitchens, care facilities, libraries and platforms on which to deliver speeches and cultural performances. What started as a movement against certain legal acts very quickly was turned into something larger about the dignity, the recognition, and justice of the farmers whose people make the backbone of the Indian economy and are often the most underprivileged ones.

The agitations also took more than a year, during which several rounds of talks between the farmer unions and the government did not reach to an agreement. The government first tried to depict the demonstrators as either confused, or they had been given a misleading motivation as believed by ultra-interested parties but later, the size of the demonstration as well as its unrelentingness changed the minds of the state and it had to respond. In November 2021, about 10 months after the creation of the deadlock, the prime minister Narendra Modi declared repeal of the farm laws, admitting that farmers had not been convinced in their profitable nature. Although the repeal was hailed as a triumph over people movements, there were uncertainties as to how to carry forward the process of the agricultural reforms, the equilibrium between the state and agrarian communities and democratic practices of law making in India.

Sociological Dimensions of the Farmers' Protest

The Farmers Protest could not have been comprehended without referring it into the wider sociological background of agrarian society in India. Agriculture has traditionally not been a mere economic undertaking but it has been a means of living and a means of identity and also it has been a major support to the societal structures. The Indian farmer is being touted as the annadata, or the provider of food but the reality of Indian farmer is poverty, indebtedness, vulnerability. The protest demonstrated this contradiction because it put farmers on the centerstage of India political and social vocabulary, presenting a challenge to the dominant discourses that normally exclude the rural population in policy formulation.

Among the main sociological peculiarities of the protest, it is possible to list the establishment of the collective identity. Most active participants were Punjabi, Haryana, and UP farmers, who have well developed agricultural systems in these states. Cooperation movements have long existed in these areas and ushered in a legacy of politically organized farmers with their own strength. But the most important thing that made the movement sociologically important was that it cut across regional and caste lines creating a perception of homogeneity on otherwise distinct groups. Farmers of southern states (Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, and Andhra Pradesh) and tribal dominated areas rallied behind their southern compatriots and effectively turned the protest into an agrarian movement on a national scale. The extension of the protest indicated how there is no severe local divisions when livelihood and dignity are under attack.

Another sociological aspect that needs to be noted is the occupation of urban locations by rural communities. The protest camps at Singhu, Tikri, and Ghazipur were the platforms of the presence of rural India in the capital city. Over decades, Indian cities have been the centers of politics and policy-making in the country, whereas the rural populace has frequently remained a periphery of discussion. The farmers entered strategic proximity to the borders of Delhi which in effect unearthed this urban-rural blockade and compelled policymakers, media, and civil society to face the agrarian concerns in an apparent and enduring real manner. The protest camps were a natural progression to what sociologists define as temporary autonomous zones, where alternate forms of community, solidarity and resistance were experimented in. Kitchens were formed to provide free meals to everyone, medical facilities were funded on a voluntary basis and cultural shows were used to keep the morale up. In this respect, the protests were not solely the responses to the state and its policies but also attempts to establish a novel kind of societal structure on grounds of equality, coordination and mutual assistance.

Conclusively, the Farmers Protest was not merely a retaliation on the agricultural reform, but a far more more sociological trend wherein a relook into collective identity was mounted, mediation of urban rural division floundered, the convergence of alternate forms of community deemed prominent alongside the idea of media and gender finding place in social movements. It highlighted the inequalities that shaped the inner juices of the Indian society and at the same time proved the power of oppressed groups to claim their right to be recognized.

Psychological Dimensions of the Farmers' Protest

The Farmers Protests represented not only a political and sociological experience but also a psychological one, which projected into the emotions, motivations and the mental health of the respondents of the farmers, as well as the general audience. Protests of this scope are not maintained only by material concerns, but rather by the psychological strength of the communities and the symbolic meaning layered to its struggle and the emotions that hold people together. The movement

brought to the fore the way individuals and groups cope with trauma, fear, hope, and solidarity when facing state authority and unconfirmed future.

Among the most notable psychological factors of the protest was the robustness of the farmers who camped at the borders outside the capital of India in unfavorable conditions, spending months there. Throughout these conditions, protesters were already exposed to severe weather conditions (extreme cold in the winter months and scorching heat in the summer) and were forced to contend with the chaos brought on by the COVID-19 pandemic, in addition to the regular risk of eviction or physical violence at the hands of law enforcement. This stamina was not just physical but also one of psychology. Cultivators used to refer to themselves as the *annadatas* or food providers and this provided them with moral power in their struggle. They packaged their struggle as that of survival and dignity and not policy dispute and turned the psychological torture into pride of a group. Culture on display offered at the protest centers in the form of activities, songs, poetry, and religious practices played an imperative role in leading morale and creating a feeling of communal solidarity even under trying conditions.

At the same time, one cannot negate the psychological trauma which the loss inflicts. Estimates put the number of farmers who were killed in the course of the year-long agitation at several hundred because of cold, due to fatigue, accidents or even illness. To them, family-wise, the protest was not merely a political movement but also a personal tragedy, which is characterized by grief and uncertainty. The farmers were in constant fear to lose their already unstable livelihood on the basis of the farm laws. Their insecurity was also exacerbated by the psychological stress of meeting police barricades, tear gas, and hostile rhetoric by political leaders and these occurred daily. Nevertheless, despite these hardships, communal resilience in the form of mutual support was the source of hope, reaffirming the belief that solidarity in fight can turn trauma into strength.

The testament of the movements strength psychologically was the role of solidarity and collective identity. Psychological investigation on social movement shows that people are more willing to continue being associated with the movement as long as they feel that they are a part of a greater community that is pursuing a united cause. This could be seen at the farmers protest camps, where they became family and communal kitchens were set-up so that nobody goes without food. Medical care was offered by volunteers, libraries and education centers were organized by the students and logistic support was offered by the religious organizations.

Political Dimensions of the Farmers' Protest

The protest by farmers in 2020-2021 was part political as much as it was socio-economic and psychological. The demonstration at its core demonstrated significant concerns about the meaning of governance, the sensitivity of democratic structures and, the legitimacy of policymaking within a multi-ethnic and complicated nation like India. Although the government proposed the farm laws as a modernization process and economic liberalization, the farmers couched their rebuttal as defense of livelihood, dignity, and the postulates of democratic consultation. The confrontation of the two narratives exposed serious divisions in the nature of state-civil society relations, turning the protest into one of the major political developments in modern India.

Making laws was one of the major political aspects held during the protest. The three farm laws were enacted in Parliament with little discussion, after walk-outs and disruptions by opposition parties. Critics accused the government of substituting constitutional democratic procedures by forcing through the bills that had not received adequate consultation by affected parties, both farmers and the agricultural and agronomic experts. Lack of consultation served as an even bigger threat to many farmers since it represented a top-down approach to governance that excluded stakeholders. It was therefore no longer only a battle against agricultural reforms, but a larger battle to establish the norm that any law impacting the lives of citizens should come out of participatory and open debate.

The response of the state to the protests brought to focus the politics involved. In the first instance, government tried to push aside the arguments of the farmers as ill-informed or politically inclined. In the course of time, as the scope of the protests extended, and international interest increased, the state changed its approach to negotiation. Several rounds of negotiations between farmer unions and government functionaries took place, but these broke down more often than not because of inability to reach mutual accommodations on major points of contention. The farmers demanded full repeal of the laws with a legal assurance of Minimum Support Price (MSP) as opposed to only amendments given by the government initially. This period of prolonged stalemate was indicative of a greater crisis of trust to governance, whereby the farmers were unsure of the seriousness of the state promises to pay, and the state, unsure of the precedent of giving in to protests in the street.

The protests also showed the strength of state power in a democracy, which is limited. The government tried to privatize the movement and discredit its standing by trying to put a gag order to the movement; however, the sheer scale of farmers in terms of participation and their ability to carry out encampments in and around the national capital compelled the government to rethink its position. The subsequent repeal of the farm laws in November 2021 brought about by the Prime Minister himself was nothing short of an untypical Indian political change when mass mobilization forced the state to reverse its decision. Politically this was seen as a triumph of democratic demonstration and the loss of governmental re-formulation. It highlighted that although the state has a lot of power, its legitimacy will always be dependent on the level of consent to rule with citizens and the capability to bring them on board in making policy decisions.

There were major electoral implications to the Farmers Protest too. The election in Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, and other states is due in 2022, and thus the political visibility of the protest was beyond any doubt. Every political party in the spectrum tried to identify itself with the cause of the farmers by either endorsing their demands or holding the government responsible in the situation. The repeal of the farm laws, which was enacted just few months before the state elections was perceived as

a political move that sought to reduce votes losses in major agrarian states. The lesson here was that protest movements can change electoral politics, creating a space to make concessions that governments might be opposed to otherwise when they are of enough scope.

Lastly, the protest unleashed issues related to the role of dissent in a democracy. To a great extent, the farmers occupation of the borders of Delhi during a span of one year served as a test scenario of the way the democratic institutions of India deal with large extensive dissent that has lasted so long. Although the protests are mostly non violent, there are also such incidents as the violence at the tractor rally in January 2021 during republic day. Civil society and human rights organizations assailed the actions of the state in attempting to suppress dissent by blocking the internet, putting up barriers, and arresting police, on the basis that it erodes democratic rights. However, the fact that the farmers did not relent and eventually achieved their victory made it much clear that the power of dissent remains central to making governments accountable even in a majoritarian democracy.

Findings and Broader Implications

The Farmers Protest of 2020-21 provides a great depth of comprehension of the society and politics relationship, the law, and psychology in present-day India. The power of collective action as a force of change in policy is one of the most apparent discoveries. The protest showed that when oppressed people act as a united front, they may claim their right to make decisions concerning them even when the strong force is the state. The farmers unions were able to use networks across regions and utilize social media to communicate to the masses; creating mass awareness, which ensured that the farmers grievances could not be overlooked. This is a fundamental sociological lesson that the capacity of the communities to create their coherent collective identities can enhance voices that are usually marginalized during the governance processes.

The other key conclusion is linked to social solidarity and resilience. Farmers endured bleak conditions which due to the extreme weather; long-term camps and an ongoing COVID19 pandemic, farmers found strength in group organization, shared responsibilities and cultural practices. The mental aspects of the protest show that the prolonged struggle need not only material resources but also the encouragement of the joint visions, moral support, and an idea. The movement is a vivid illustration of how, when faced to struggle through in a long term high-stress situation, psychological resilience and communal solidarity can be maintained.

The protest can also shed some light on state-society relations and democratic governance. Politically, the early moves by the government to reject or invalidate the farmers reflected a lack of catch up between policy making and consultation in government. The setting-aside of the laws with the eventual repeal was an indication that social legitimacy counts as much as the rule of law in a democratic dispensation. Policies that are regarded as imposed on citizens without any consultation or consent will lead to the emergence of resistance, which has the political cost to make the state reconsider or reverses its resolutions. The movement signals the necessity of participatory mechanisms of governance that need to strike the balance between efficiency and inclusivity, especially in areas that have an impact on the livelihoods of millions.

Alternatives and Decision Criteria

Alternatives

1. Continue full implementation of farm laws relying on market efficiency
2. Amend laws addressing MSP concerns and farmer protection mechanisms
3. Introduce phased reforms through pilot projects before nationwide rollout
4. Adopt stakeholder-driven reforms with farmers, states, and experts consultation
5. Repeal farm laws to address protests and restore political stability

Decision Criteria

1. Ensure public acceptance and legitimacy among farmers and stakeholders
2. Improve economic efficiency, productivity, and agricultural market competitiveness
3. Protect social welfare and equity for small and marginal farmers
4. Maintain political stability and reduce long-term protests and unrest
5. Ensure legal validity, constitutional compliance, and federal balance maintained
6. Assess administrative feasibility across diverse agricultural systems and regions

Recommendations

1. Ensure inclusive policymaking through early stakeholder consultation and dialogue
2. Build trust using transparent communication and credible institutional mechanisms
3. Provide legal assurance for MSP or alternative farmer income security
4. Implement reforms gradually using pilot testing and phased execution strategies
5. Balance economic liberalization with social protection for vulnerable farmers

CONCLUSION

The Farmers Protest of 2020-21 can be considered as an event that influenced social, political and legal history of the modern India and the intersection of the spaces of the grassroots activism, democratic politics and laws accountability. It showed how material grievances alone are not enough to produce effective resistance because they are based not only on material aspects but also on psychological strength, solidarity, and identity of marginalized groups. The continued protest on the boundaries of Delhi despite the adverse climatic, social and political circumstances had proven the immense ability of the citizens to exercise their rights, to demand their rights and to create an impact to the policies of a democratic regime. Sociologically, the movement subverted the conventional urban-rural power structure, the importance of community and group identification, in addition to the shifting nature of media in the framing of public discourse.

On a psychological level, the protest emphasized the factors of emotional strength, group spirit, and solidarity among generations. The farmers and supporters had to live with years of uncertainty, individual tragedies and trauma, but a sense of purpose and community kept the most active of the movement going. Such reflections help us to better appreciate the role of communities in managing stress, resolving crises, and ensuring that they have agency within high-stakes social conflicts and struggles. Politically, the protest showed that there are limits and duties of state power within a democracy. Such methods as unilateral legislation and efforts to de-legitimize opposition were not enough to achieve compliance without social legitimacy, the government applied initially. The citizen mobilization around the repeal of the farm laws is an example of how timely and important action can still shift government policy preferences and restore democracy.

Legally, the Farmers Protest allowed critical discourses about federalism, parliamentary power, judicial intervention and fundamental rights. It emphasized the necessity of the existence of laws that are not only qualified as lawful but also acceptable in the society it is serving thus the interchangeability of legality and legitimacy. Policy implications are also non-agricultural as it can be used as a lesson in governance and stakeholder consultation and implementation of reforms. The protest showed that the inclusion of all voices in deliberations, open communication, and addressing the interests of the citizens are the main factors contributing to sustainable policy and law frameworks.

Agrarian movements are but one aspect of Farmers Protest, which can be viewed as a multidimensional case study in democracy, resilience, law and social dynamics. It functions as an affirmation of the strength of people en masse, the principle of rights and dignity, and the need to make governance be more in line with what people want. The movement presents a legacy that policymakers, activists, scholars and citizens can use to go forward, namely that social, psychological, political and legal aspects must be combined to traverse the landscape of modern governance. The Farmers Protest has been, therefore, a testament of how deeply rooted resilience of society is in making a stand against wrongs, demanding justice and how people can influence the democratic process, in an influential and objective way.

REFERENCES

1. Jodhka, S. S. (2021). The Farmers' Protests in India: A Sociological Perspective. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(4), 10-12.
2. Gill, S. S. (2021). Farm Laws 2020: Liberalization, Protests, and the Future of Indian Agriculture. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 55(51), 21-24.
3. Chowdhury, S. (2021). Understanding the Indian Farmers' Protest: Legal and Political Dimensions. *Journal of Indian Law and Society*, 12(1), 67-89.
4. Singh, P., & Kumar, A. (2022). Psychological Resilience and Collective Identity in the Farmers' Movement in India. *International Journal of Social Psychology*, 38(2), 135-150.
5. Ramaswamy, S. (2021). Democratic Dissent and Policy Making: Lessons from the Farmers' Protest. *Indian Journal of Political Science*, 82(2), 245-260.
6. Moliner, C., & Singh, D. (Eds.). (2024). *The Indian farmers' protest of 2020–2021: Agrarian crisis, dissent and identity*. Taylor & Francis.
7. Agarwal, M. R. (2021). New Farm Act 2020: A Socio-Legal Study. *Supremo Amicus*, 23, 333.
8. Singh, V. K. (2021). A Prologue: Contemporary Issues in Law and Policy—Ten Major Reflections from 2021. *UPES Law Review*, 6.
9. Lokhandwala, Z. (2021, January). Neoliberalisation of Indian Agriculture: Undermining of the Right to Food of Farmers. *SLR Forum, Socio-Legal Review National Law School of India University, Bengaluru*.
10. Malik, S. A. (2021). *Conjunctures in Law and Development: Assemblages for Progress in Indian Agricultural Futures*.
11. Sharma, S. (2022). Democracy Losing Ground to Mobocracy: A Diverse Socio-Legal Perspective. *Issue 1 Indian JL & Legal Rsch.*, 4, 1.
12. Mohite¹, M. S., & Gaikwad, R. S. (2026). Land, Power, and Emancipation: The Historical Demise of the Khoti System and Its Social Consequences. Pokorny, L. *Economic Impact of Genetically Modified Crops on Smallholder Farmers in Developing Nations: A Systematic Literature Review*.
13. Mahanta, U., & Bharadwaj, G. (2022). Shifting Boundaries of 'Perceived' Legitimacy. *Performance Research*, 27(3–4), 112–121. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13528165.2022.2155420>
14. Rajdeep, R. (2024). *Farmer's Protest 2020: Play, Pause, Stop, Rewind*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14329706>
15. Devgan, S. C., Lahiri, S. C., Mitra, D., Singh, N., Suri, D., & Weigler, E. (2022). Final Reflections on the Farmers' Movement. <https://doi.org/10.62307/srj.v7i1.36>

16. Valarthodi, M. I., & Ekayanta, F. B. (2024). State and Farmers' Protest in India. *Jurnal Kajian Agraria Dan Kedaulatan Pangan (JKAKP)*, 3(2), 84–90. <https://doi.org/10.32734/jkakp.v3i2.19120>
17. Kumar, S. (2025). (wl-4349)-India's Agrarian Crisis in the Making of Farmers' Protests. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 60(18). <https://doi.org/10.71279/epw.v60i18.39239>
18. Shakeel, A., Salman, Mohd. S., Shazli, T., Naqvi, H. R., & Siddiqui, M. A. (2022). Reforming Indian agriculture and the rise of farmer's protest in India: Tracing the discourse and the way ahead. *Journal of Public Affairs*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2847>
19. Siddiqui, S., & Natesan, S. D. (2024). Loh Langar Tapde Rahen. 180–198. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003496625-11>
20. Behera, M. (2024). Agricultural Crisis, Farms Laws, and Farmers' Protest: Reflection on its Rollback and Implication. *The Indian Economic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00194662231223702>
21. Sankar, V. (2020). The Commodification of Food, Farming and Farmers: A Critical Review of Farm Laws, 2020. 8(3), 18–26. <https://doi.org/10.20896/SACI.V8I3.1117>
22. Mahipal, N., & Garg, J. (2024). Agriculture and law. *ShodhKosh Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*. <https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i6.2024.2484>